



LAW OFFICES OF
SYNNESTVEDT & LECHNER LLP
2600 ARAMARK TOWER
1101 MARKET STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19107-2950
TELEPHONE (215) 923-4466
FACSIMILE (215) 923-2189
E-MAIL synnlech@synnlech.com
www.synnlech.com

December 6, 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re/ Application of K. I. Cumming and
Z. Ramtoola
Application No. 09/510,560
Filed February 22, 2000


Examiner R. Berko
Art Unit 1615

Solid Oral Dosage Form Containing an Enhancer

(Attorney Docket No. P24,375-A USA)

CERTIFICATE OF EXPRESS MAIL

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as Express Mail Post Office to Addressee Mailing Label No. EV591077487 US, in an envelope addressed to: Box RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on December 6, 2004.


Peter Bodine

Submission Accompanying Request for
Continued Examination and Including Claim
Amendments and Reply to Action Under 37 CFR §1.114 (c)

Box RCE
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

12/10/2004 MAHMED1 00000092 09510560

1

02 FC:2253 490.00 OP

12/10/2004 MAHMED1 00000092 09510560

03 FC:2202 243.00 OP

This is in further response to the Final Action dated June 4, 2004 in the above-identified application.

A Reply to the Final Action was filed on August 4, 2004. In response to that Reply, the Examiner issued an Advisory Action, dated September 9, 2004, in which it was indicated that the Reply, which constituted a request for reconsideration, had been considered, but it did not place the application in condition for allowance.

Applicants' Reply included proposed claim amendments. The Advisory Action does not indicate whether the claim amendments were entered or not entered. In a conference by telephone with the Examiner on December 1, 2004, the Examiner advised that the proposed claim amendments had not been entered.

This submission includes claim amendments which are referenced in the listing of claims which begins on page 3 of this Submission, with the amendments being applicable to the claims that were filed in applicants' Reply, dated February 23, 2004, and not the claims that were filed with applicants' Reply, dated August 4, 2004, inasmuch as the amendments to the "August" claims were not entered, as mentioned above. Remarks begin on page 20 of this Submission.